

# TheCaseSolution.com

## The Jacobs Division 2010

### Background

- Richard Soderberg is a financial analyst for Jacobs Division of MacFadden Chemical Company
- Most of MacFadden's divisions have products that focus around one chemical
- The Jacobs Division is an exception

### The Jacobs Division

- The newest and smallest division
- No products exceeding \$5 million in sales
- One of the fastest growing divisions

### MacFadden Capital Budgeting Standards

- 4% for non-research projects
- 13% for expansion of the firm
- 16% for new products and processes

### Jacobs Division Standards

- 5% for R&D projects, 10% for expansion
- 15% for higher returns than the MacFadden standards
- Expected improvements in sales or total net assets within 3 years
- Ability of employees and therefore material in how the new division compares with Jacobs Division's existing products, plant capacity

### The Silicone X Project

- Added slipperiness to a surface with a special coating to reduce friction
- Sound product because of its hardness, adhesiveness, and durability
- Superior to current substitutes

### Silicone X as an Investment

- Large number of buyers, but only a few would buy in bulk (5,000+ lbs per year)
- \$2/lb price seemed maximum price, but a lower price was unlikely to increase volume
- For planning purposes, \$1.90/lb was used
- First year demand estimated to be between 500,000 and 2 million with 1.2 million lbs being the most likely

### Options for Investment

- Mr. Soderberg used a 20% discount rate to be conservative and a 15% one 10
- Two methods the company could use if it chose to invest
  - Labor-intensive, limited capacity
  - Capital-intensive

### Comparing Approaches

Labor Intensive	Capital-Intensive
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requires start-up for plant and equipment</li> <li>Could be sold for \$100,000 if abandoned</li> <li>U.S. labor is plentiful</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>\$1.5 million start-up for plant and equipment</li> <li>Could be sold for \$1 million if abandoned</li> <li>U.S. labor is in short supply</li> </ul>

### Labor-Intensive Strengths and Weaknesses

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lower start-up costs</li> <li>Can change production capacity</li> <li>Lower NPV at both 20% and 15%</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cannot handle a high demand without increasing costs</li> <li>Could be competitive in some markets</li> </ul>

### Capital-Intensive Strengths and Weaknesses

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strong if product is in high-demand</li> <li>Lower cost/unit</li> <li>Lower fixed costs</li> <li>Discourages competition</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High initial cost</li> <li>Very little production and profitability in beginning years</li> <li>Negative NPV at 20%</li> </ul>

### Labor-Intensive, Capital-Intensive, or no investment?

Labor-intensive satisfies both of Mr. Reynolds's requirements of exceeding company standards and having strong returns within 3 years

### Addressing Concerns

What if new competitors enter the market and cut the price to \$0.70?

- Break-even point is 340,000 lbs. Under a \$1.20 price per lb, break-even point would rise to 400,000 lbs sold.
- Mr. Soderberg was concerned competitors would enter once demand reached 3,000,000
- In this scenario, Jacobs Division would be able to meet that breaking point with just 30% market share
  - Then already established relationships and competitive pricing would almost guarantee this much of the market

### Addressing Concerns

What if demand was twice plant capacity?

- Jacobs Division has no products with more than \$5 million in sales revenue
- Meeting this type of demand is not a primary concern of the company
- Capital-intensive approach is necessary

### Evaluation of Mr. Reynolds

- His research and due-diligence essentially made all of his projects risk-free
- MacFadden may get frustrated that he spends so many potentially strong investments

# TheCaseSolution.com

## The Jacobs Division 2010

### Background

- Richard Soderberg is a financial analyst for Jacobs Division of MacFadden Chemical Company
- Most of MacFadden's divisions have products that focus around one chemical
- The Jacobs Division is an exception

### The Jacobs Division

- The newest and smallest division
- No products exceeding \$5 million in sales
- One of the fastest growing divisions

### MacFadden Capital Budgeting Standards

- 4% for non-research projects
- 13% for expansion of the firm
- 16% for new products and processes

### Jacobs Division Standards

- 5% for R&D projects, 10% for expansion
- 15% for higher returns than the MacFadden standards
- Expected improvements in sales or total net assets within 3 years
- Ability of employees and therefore material in how the new division compares with Jacobs Division's existing products, plant capacity

### The Silicone X Project

- Added slipperiness to a surface with a special coating to reduce friction
- Sound product because of its hardness, adhesiveness, and durability
- Superior to current substitutes

### Silicone X as an Investment

- Large number of buyers, but only a few would buy in bulk (5,000+ lbs per year)
- \$2/lb price seemed maximum price, but a lower price was unlikely to increase volume
- For planning purposes, \$1.90/lb was used
- First year demand estimated to be between 500,000 and 2 million with 1.2 million lbs being the most likely

### Options for Investment

- Mr. Soderberg used a 20% discount rate to be conservative and a 15% one 10%
- Two methods the company could use if it chose to invest
  - Labor-intensive, limited capacity
  - Capital-intensive

### Comparing Approaches

Labor Intensive	Capital-Intensive
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requires start-up for plant and equipment</li> <li>Could be sold for \$100,000 if abandoned</li> <li>U.S. \$1.5 million plant capacity</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>\$1.5 million start-up for plant and equipment</li> <li>Could be sold for \$1 million if abandoned</li> <li>U.S. \$1.5 million plant capacity</li> </ul>

### Labor-Intensive Strengths and Weaknesses

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lower start-up costs</li> <li>Can change production capacity</li> <li>Flexibility to accommodate health, safety, and OSHA</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cannot handle a high demand without increasing costs</li> <li>Could be competitive in some markets</li> </ul>

### Capital-Intensive Strengths and Weaknesses

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strong if product is in high-demand</li> <li>Lower cost/unit</li> <li>Lower fixed costs</li> <li>Discourages competition</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High initial cost</li> <li>Very little production and profitability in beginning years</li> <li>Negative NPV at 20%</li> </ul>

### Labor-Intensive, Capital-Intensive, or no investment?

Labor-intensive satisfies both of Mr. Reynolds's requirements of exceeding company standards and having strong returns within 3 years

### Addressing Concerns

What if new competitors enter the market and cut the price to \$0.70?

- Break-even point is 340,000 lbs. Under a \$1.20 price per lb, break-even point would raise to 400,000 lbs sold.
- Mr. Soderberg was concerned competitors would enter once demand reached 3,000,000
- In this scenario, Jacobs Division would be able to meet that breaking point with just 30% market share
  - Then already established relationships and competitive pricing would almost guarantee this much of the market

### Addressing Concerns

What if demand was raised above plant capacity?

- Jacobs Division has no products with more than \$5 million in sales revenue
- Meeting this type of demand is not a primary concern of the company
- Capital-intensive approach necessary

### Evaluation of Mr. Reynolds

- His research and due-diligence essentially made all of his projects risk-free
- MacFadden may get frustrated that he spends so many potentially strong investments



**The Jacobs Division 2010**

## *Background*

- Richard Soderberg is a financial analyst for Jacobs Division of MacFadden Chemical Company
- Most of MacFadden's divisions have products that focus around one chemical
- The Jacobs Division is an exception



## *The Jacobs Division*

- The newest and smallest division
- No products exceeding \$5 million in sales
- One of the fastest growing divisions



## *MacFadden Capital Budgeting Standards*

- 8% for cost-reduction projects
- 12% for expansion of facilities
- 16% for new products and processes

## *Jacobs Division Standards*

- Set by Mark Reynolds, the division manager
- Wanted higher returns than the MacFadden standards
- Expected improvement on return on total net assets within 3 years
- Skeptical of estimates and therefore wanted at least 4% more than the company standards before becoming enthusiastic about a project

## *The Silicone X Project*

- Added slipperiness to a surface with a special coating to reduce friction
- Sound product because of its hardness, adhesiveness, and durability
- Superior to current substitutes