

Winkelman v. Parma City School District

About

• Chuck Winkelman
• Argued February 27, 2007
• Decided May 21, 2007

What Was Argued?

- Wasn't provided with Free Appropriate Public Education
- Then was still not receive a compulsory individualized education program
- The school district had failed to follow proper procedures under IDEA

Court

U.S. Supreme Court
5:2006-0100
2007-1

Who Did What?

- Jeff and Sandra Winkelman
- Anthony M. Kennedy

Jeff and Sandra Winkelman

Parents of child

Anthony M. Kennedy

Justice

How Did the Court Rule?

The Court ruled in favor of the parents, saying that the school district violated IDEA and that the parents were entitled to provide their child with a free appropriate public education. The Court also ruled that the school district had failed to follow proper procedures under IDEA.

What does this mean for SPED?

- The Court ruled that a parent can be a "party aggrieved" under the statute and that students referring to the rights of the child need not be listed or excluded from the statute.
- This helped involve the parents.

Winkelman v. Parma City School District

About

• Chuck Winkelman
• Argued February 27, 2007
• Decided May 21, 2007

What Was Argued?

- Wasn't provided with Free Appropriate Public Education
- Their son did not receive a compulsory individualized education program
- The school district had failed to follow proper procedures under IDEA

Court

U.S. Supreme Court
5:2006-0100
128 S.Ct. 1532 (2008)

Who Did What?

- Jeff and Sandra Winkelman
- Anthony M. Kennedy

Jeff and Sandra Winkelman

Parents of the child

Anthony M. Kennedy

Justice

How Did the Court Rule?

The Court ruled in favor of the parents, saying that the school district violated IDEA and that the parents were entitled to provide their child with a free appropriate public education. The Court also ruled that the school district had failed to follow proper procedures under IDEA.

What does this mean for SPED?

- The Court ruled that a parent can be a "party aggrieved" under the statute and that students referring to the rights of the child need not be listed or excluded from the statute.
- This helped involve the parents.

About

- Jacob Winkelman
- Argued- February 27, 2007
- Decided- May 21, 2007

What Was Argued?

- Wasn't provided with Free Appropriate Public Education
- Their son did not receive a satisfactory individualized education program
- The school district had failed to follow proper procedures under IDEA.

Court?

It was a civil suit under the "Individuals with Disabilities Education Act" decided by the Supreme Court of the United States.

Court?

It was a civil suit under the "Individuals with Disabilities Education Act" decided by the Supreme Court of the United States.

Who Did What?

- Jeff and Sandee Winkelman
- Anthony M. Kennedy

Jeff and Sandee Winkelman

Parents of the Jacob.

Anthony M. Kennedy

Justice Kennedy held for the seven-justice majority that parents may file suit under IDEA.

Jeff and Sandee Winkelman

Parents of the Jacob.

Anthony M. Kennedy

Justice Kennedy held for the seven-justice majority that parents may file suit under IDEA.