Evaluate each of Hub's arguments Harvard Case Solution & Analysis

TheCaseSolutions.com





Contrifying &
Evaluating haller's
heighten!

- the clear on the argument under
control and an area report and
LCCCLO's the arter a report
port of the argument of the argument
port of the argument of the argument
port of the argument of the argument
instript whether their support is
second or weak

Examples

1.) Experited tided to go to a movie with Properator, Promisis summed to see a searly recorder but Experimental Experiment in the Experiment of the Experiment of Section 1 decide between The Hills Have Eyes and Suger Black, they collect Jacomia not be a moderate loan procedure to decide which one is better to see, Jacomia procedure but follows. Then's you should go use Super This because the exapping plot and chorizons of the characterist makes this movie, a mod servi.

and bedoer live is the new five-star restauration on. A reputable experience neutrannel includes abletes who stary in character yet still accommodate in enemble of glassia, cleaniferes, and of coutties, cod food, lighter services in the Timber Clare, includes code food, lighter services in the Timber Clare, includes an execution and particularly more stable inverse fixes provided to all guests service in accordance of the results and in every probability of the results and of the resu

Works Cited

Deside Service (1994). Spacetry, 115, 1966. DOM, 2006. CRDC.
Deside Service (1994). Service (1994). Deside Service (1994). Desi

"Enduring Reguments," N.p., 2005, Web, 33 Oct. 2005, -Bapulseanuca advis-agarage evoluting Feel v.

"Trud. others" infrastration in Europation Augments, 1944, 2022, 1946, 12 Oct 2024, 404p. S

Evaluate each of Hub's arguments Harvard Case Solution & Analysis

TheCaseSolutions.com







Literativiping &
Evaluating Ratioon's
Ingament

- Be clear on the programmi under
experimental
- Economic Bernal part | part
LOGOS | the pulling in print | part
LOGOS | the pulling in print | part
LOGOS | the pulling in print | part
| LOGOS | the pulling in print |
|- Memity whether their support is
salong or weak

Examples

1.) (Spee decided to go to a moste with Phoenix. Phoenix warried to see a story move but Espe warried a good laugh. Because they could not clocked be batterne. The High Home Sym and Super Bod, they colled Jaamin who is a movie lover to decide which one is before size. Jazmin process to decide which one is before size. Jazmin process to because the engaging plot and charistins of the characters makes this provise a most see?

> Jose. A reputable experience restaurant includes waters who stay in character yet still accommoda the needs of guests, cleanliness, and of course, good food. Upon arwait or The Files Case, waters designed in Caseran Three papinal gived grads with a stone stab menu then proceed to at greats under a contract.

tions slab ment then proceed to at guests under a omap. During the meet there are many engaging serformances and every employee of the restaurant is orisitions of orderly PDA procedures to make each via orisitions.

What is an evaluative type argument?

Definition: Evaluative arguments make judgements on the topic being presented and is supported by reasons.

What is an evaluative type argument?

Definition: Evaluative arguments make judgements on the topic being presented and is supported by

reasons

What is an evaluative type argument?

Definition: Evaluative arguments make judgements on the topic being presented and is supported by reasons.

"The Deets"

Analyzes whether the author's purpose is...

- Good or bad
- Fair or unfair
- Solid or shaky

* Be sure to thoroughly support why this judgement is being made.

Two Tactics

We must be able to reinforce our position on the evaluation. To do so we can use two tactics of support.

1.) Measure the subject or purpose of the evaluation against a classical definition of what it is supposed to be.

Example: "Spanking is wrong because it is a form of child abuse."

 Explain why the author's subject or purpose is i.e. good or bad, strong or weak. Example: "Spanking is wrong because

> it causes long-term psychologic damage to the punished child

Two Tactics

We must be able to reinforce our position on the evaluation. To do so we can use two tactics of support.

1.) Measure the subject or purpose of the evaluation against a classical definition of what it is supposed to be.

Example: "Spanking is wrong because it is a form of child abuse."

2.) Explain why the author's subject or purpose is i.e. good or bad, strong or weak. **Example:** "Spanking is wrong because it causes long-term psychological damage to the punished child."

Fun Fact



· The tactics