

# Remicade/Simponi: Confidential Instructions for Merck

# Thecasesolutions.com

**Solution 3: Ignore the Situation**  
Thecasesolutions.com

- **Conceptualize:** Mike could continue doing things the way he does them.
- **Rights Approach:** It saves the relationships involved.
- **Non-Conceptualize:** No new impact or expense involved.
- **Greener Grass:** No new request.
- **Three Approaches:** Most useful.
- **Ethics of Care:** Helps Mike but not the interests of athletes.

**Summary of the Solutions**

1. **Conceptualize:** Mike could continue doing things the way he does them.

2. **Rights Approach:** It saves the relationships involved.

3. **Non-Conceptualize:** No new impact or expense involved.

4. **Greener Grass:** No new request.

5. **Three Approaches:** Most useful.

6. **Ethics of Care:** Helps Mike but not the interests of athletes.

**Final Decision**

Businesses exist to make money and to do this often through the use of unethical and/or illegal means. If a company is not willing to do this, it will not survive in the long run.

**What is it for the audience?**

It is for the audience to see that the author is not just a theorist but a practical person who has been through the same situation and has a solution to offer.

**Questions for you**

How would you approach the situation if you were James or Mike?

**What is the issue?**  
Technically Mike is stealing.  
Thecasesolutions.com

**What is the dilemma?**  
Thecasesolutions.com

If Mike continues stealing, he will eventually be caught and his career will be ruined. If he stops stealing, he will lose his income and his ability to pay for his education.

**Ethical Questions**  
Thecasesolutions.com

1. How does Mike's business benefit the community?

2. How does Mike's business harm the community?

3. How does Mike's business benefit the community?

4. How does Mike's business harm the community?

**Summary of the Case**  
Thecasesolutions.com

Mike, an equipment manager has taken advantage of the company credit cards, yet his boss is his brother.

# Remicade/Simponi: Confidential Instructions for Merck

# Thecasesolutions.com

**Summary of the Case**  
Mike, an equipment manager has taken advantage of the company credit card, yet his boss is his brother.  
Thecasesolutions.com

**What is the issue?**  
Technically Mike is stealing.  
Thecasesolutions.com

**Solution 3: Ignore the Situation**  
Thecasesolutions.com

- **Consequentialist:** Mike would continue doing things the way he does them.
- **Rights Approach:** It saves the relationships involved.
- **Basic Consequentialist:** He now has had no anyone involved.
- **Contract Good:** No new impact.
- **Stake Approach:** Himself, none!
- **Risks of Care:** Helps Mike but not the finances of the firm.

Thecasesolutions.com

Thecasesolutions.com

**What is the debate?**  
Thecasesolutions.com

If the financial manager, both who works in the company department and what is the situation, he can't have to do anything else but to follow the law.

**Ethical Questions**  
Thecasesolutions.com

1) How different is the situation from the situation?  
2) Is it right for others to see the business to find their own situation?

**Summary of the Solutions**  
Thecasesolutions.com

1) How different is the situation from the situation?  
2) Is it right for others to see the business to find their own situation?

## *Summary of the Case*

*Mike, an equipment manager has taken advantage of the company credit card, yet his boss is his brother.*

**Thecasesolutions.com**

*What is the issue?*

*Technically Mike is stealing.*

**Thecasesolutions.com**

## *Ethical Questions*

- 1) Does Mike deserve to be punished for his actions?*
- 2) Is it right for Mike's boss (his brother) to hand down a punishment?*

**Thecasesolutions.com**

## *What is the debate?*

*Mike is practically stealing, Justin who works in the accounting department feels obligated to take action, but isn't sure what to do considering thier boss is Mike's brother.*

**Thecasesolutions.com**

## Solution 1: Confront Mike

# Thecasesolutions.com

- *Consequentialist: Makes Mike aware without any consequences.*
- *Rights Approach: Mike doesn't get in trouble.*
- *Non-Consequentialist: Would be the best case scenario for Mike.*
- *Common Good: Could potentially stop Mike from using the company card.*
- *Virtue Approach: You would want to confront someone in this scenario as well.*
- *Ethics of Care: It helps everyone involved in some way.*

## Solution 2: Tell Higher Authority

# Thecasesolutions.com

- *Consequentialist: Fixes the budget problem.*
- *Rights Approach: Helps eliminate the problem with the budget.*
- *Non-Consequentialist: Most fair for those in the athletic department.*
- *Common Good: Budget problem is likely eliminated.*
- *Virtue Approach: Is what most would do in this situation.*
- *Ethics of Care: Potentially affects Mike negatively but everyone else positively.*



## Solution 3: Ignore the Situation

# Thecasesolutions.com

- *Consequentialist: Mike would continue doing things the way he does them.*
- *Rights Approach: It saves the relationships involved.*
- *Non-Consequentialist: No new impact on anyone involved.*
- *Common Good: No new impact.*
- *Virtue Approach: Myself: neutral*
- *Ethics of Care: Helps Mike but not the finances of athletics.*