

Liberia

Thecasesolutions.com

Solution 3: Ignore the Situation Thecasesolutions.com

- Consequence 1st: Mike would continue doing things the way he does them.
- Rights Approach & It gives the stakeholders involved.
- Non-Consequence 2nd: No new impact on anyone involved.
- Consequence 3rd: No new impact.
- Triage Approach: Mostly useful.
- Ethics of Care: Helps Mike but not the business of athletics.

Summary of the Solutions

Final Decision

Businesses have many different approaches to solve the same problem. Through a series of questions and answers, we have provided a clear and concise summary of the solutions to the problem. This summary is intended to help you understand the different approaches and to make a final decision.

What is it for the audience?

What is the purpose of this document? The purpose of this document is to provide a clear and concise summary of the solutions to the problem. This summary is intended to help you understand the different approaches and to make a final decision.

Questions for you

How would you approach the situation if you were James or John?

What is the issue?

Technically Mike is stealing.

Thecasesolutions.com

What is the debate?

What is the debate? The debate is about whether or not Mike is stealing. The debate is about whether or not Mike is stealing.

Thecasesolutions.com

Ethical Questions

What are the ethical questions? The ethical questions are about whether or not Mike is stealing. The ethical questions are about whether or not Mike is stealing.

Thecasesolutions.com

Summary of the Case

Mike, an equipment manager has taken advantage of the company credit card, yet his boss is his brother.

Thecasesolutions.com

Liberia

Thecasesolutions.com

Summary of the Case
Mike, an equipment manager has taken advantage of the company credit card, yet his boss is his brother.
Thecasesolutions.com

What is the issue?
Technically Mike is stealing.
Thecasesolutions.com

Solution 3: Ignore the Situation
Thecasesolutions.com

- **Consequentialist:** Mike would continue doing things the way he does them.
- **Rights Approach:** It saves the relationships involved.
- **Rule-Consequentialist:** He now has a credit card again.
- **Contractualist:** No new contract.
- **Princ. Approach:** Himself, neutral.
- **Rights of Care:** Helps Mike but not the business of relatives.

Summary of the Solution
Thecasesolutions.com

Summary of the Solution
Thecasesolutions.com

What is the debate?
Mike is stealing. But his boss is his brother. The cases solutions.com

Ethical Questions
1) Does Mike deserve to be punished for his actions?
2) Is it right for relatives to be involved in business decisions?
Thecasesolutions.com

Summary of the Solution
Thecasesolutions.com

Summary of the Case

Mike, an equipment manager has taken advantage of the company credit card, yet his boss is his brother.

Thecasesolutions.com

What is the issue?

Technically Mike is stealing.

Thecasesolutions.com

Ethical Questions

1) Does Mike deserve to be punished for his actions?

2) Is it right for Mike's boss (his brother) to hand down a punishment?

Thecasesolutions.com

What is the debate?

Mike is practically stealing, Justin who works in the accounting department feels obligated to take action, but isn't sure what to do considering thier boss is Mike's brother.

Thecasesolutions.com

Solution 1: Confront Mike

Thecasesolutions.com

- *Consequentialist: Makes Mike aware without any consequences.*
- *Rights Approach: Mike doesn't get in trouble.*
- *Non-Consequentialist: Would be the best case scenario for Mike.*
- *Common Good: Could potentially stop Mike from using the company card.*
- *Virtue Approach: You would want to confront someone in this scenario as well.*
- *Ethics of Care: It helps everyone involved in some way.*

Solution 2: Tell Higher Authority

Thecasesolutions.com

- *Consequentialist: Fixes the budget problem.*
- *Rights Approach: Helps eliminate the problem with the budget.*
- *Non-Consequentialist: Most fair for those in the athletic department.*
- *Common Good: Budget problem is likely eliminated.*
- *Virtue Approach: Is what most would do in this situation.*
- *Ethics of Care: Potentially affects Mike negatively but everyone else positively.*

Solution 3: Ignore the Situation

Thecasesolutions.com

- *Consequentialist: Mike would continue doing things the way he does them.*
- *Rights Approach: It saves the relationships involved.*
- *Non-Consequentialist: No new impact on anyone involved.*
- *Common Good: No new impact.*
- *Virtue Approach: Myself: neutral*
- *Ethics of Care: Helps Mike but not the finances of athletics.*