Marriott Corp Cost of Capital

Thecasesolutions.com

P(chosen 3x in a row)=1/12*1/12*1/12=0.00058

How would using a single corporation hurdle rate affect the company over time?

As different divisions have different systemic risks and leverage levels, using a single corporation hurdle rate to evaluate investment opportunities would be inappropriate. Risk for the whole corporation would be lower than the risk for the single project because risk for the whole corporation is more diversified.

- If hurdle rate is too low, more projects will be accepted.
- If hurdle rate is too high, fewer projects will be accepted.

This could ultimately result in investments which are not aligned with overall objectives.

Types of investments we could value using Marriott's WACC

There are two requirements that should be satisfied before using Marriott's WACC to evaluate prospective investments:

- The investment opportunity must have the same systemic risk as Marriott as a whole.
- The investment must have a similar leverage level to Marriott as a whole.

Summary of Case

Thecasesolutions.com

Marriott Corporation is made up of three divisions:
1. Lodging
2. Restaurants
3. Contract Services

They are looking for the cost of capital for each of their divisions.

Cost of Capital for Marriott as a whole

To find the cost of capital, we use the following information:
    mrp=7.43%
    beta of debt=0

$r_E^M = r_f^D + \beta_E^M * MRP = 8.95\% + 1.11 * 7.43\% = 17.20\%$

$r_{M} = \frac{D}{V} r_D + \frac{E}{V} r_E = 41\% * 8.95\% + 59\% * 17.20\% = 13.82\%$

Cost of Capital for Each Division

Scenario 1: Equal weighting for comparable company betas

Scenario 2: Revenue-based weighting

Marriott Corp Cost of Capital

Thecasesolutions.com

P(chosen 3x in a row)=1/12*1/12*1/12=0.00058

How would using a single corporation hurdle rate affect the company over time?

Thecasesolutions.com

As different divisions have different systemic risks and leverage levels, using a single corporation hurdle rate to evaluate investment opportunities would be inappropriate. Risk for the whole corporation would be lower than the risk for the single project because risk for the whole corporation is more diversified.

- If hurdle rate is too low, more projects will be accepted.
- If hurdle rate is too high, fewer projects will be accepted.

This could ultimately result in investments which are not aligned with overall objectives

Types of investments we could value using Marriott's WACC

There are two requirements that should be satisfied before using Marriott's WACC to evaluate prospective investments:
- The investment opportunity must have the same systemic risk as Marriott as a whole.
- The investment must have a similar leverage level to Marriott as a whole.

Thecasesolutions.com

Summary of Case

Thecasesolutions.com

Marriott Corporation is made up of three divisions:
1. Lodging
2. Restaurants
3. Contract Services

They are looking for the cost of capital for each of their divisions.

Cost of Capital for Each Division

Scenario 1: Equal weighting for comparable company betas

$\beta_A^L=0.4223; \beta_A^U=0.9586$

$r_{r_A} = r_f^{10} + \beta_A^U \cdot MRP = 8.95\% + 0.4223 \cdot 7.43\% = 12.09\%$

$r_{c_A} = r_f^{10} + \beta_A^L \cdot MRP = 8.95\% + 0.9586 \cdot 7.43\% = 15.81\%$

To find contract beta, overall asset beta is the sum of the weighted department beta:

$\beta_{CS}^L = w_{CS} \cdot \beta_L^L + w_R \cdot \beta_R^L + \beta_{CS}^L$

$0.6519 = 0.41\% \cdot 1.22 + 0.13\% \cdot 1.35 \cdot 0.9586 + 0.46\% \beta_{CS}^L; \beta_{CS}^L = 0.770589$

$r_{CS} \ r_f = mrp^* \beta_{CS} \ 0.0895 = 0.0743^*0.770589 \ 0.147589 \longrightarrow 14.72\%$

Scenario 2: Revenue-based weighting

$\beta_A^L=0.4113; \ \beta_A^U=0.8486$

$r_{r_A} = c_f^{10} + \beta_A^U \cdot MRP = 8.95\% + 0.4113 \cdot 7.43\% = 12.0095\%$

$r_{c_A} = c_f^{10} + \beta_A^L \cdot MRP = 8.72\% + 0.8486 \cdot 7.43\% = 15.0255\%$

To find contract beta, overall asset beta is the sum of the weighted department beta:

$\beta_{CS}^L = w_{CS} \cdot \beta_L^L + w_R \cdot \beta_R^L + \beta_{CS}^L$

$0.6549 = 0.41\% \cdot 0.1313 \cdot 0.13\% \cdot 0.8486 \cdot 0.46\% \beta_{CS}^L; \beta_{CS}^L = 0.81728$

$r_{CS} \ r_f = mrp^* \beta_{CS} \ 0.0895 = 0.0743^*0.81728 \ 0.150224 \longrightarrow 15.02\%$

Cost of Capital for Marriott as a whole

Thecasesolutions.com

To find the cost of capital, we use the following information:
mrp=7.43%
beta of debt=0

$r_M^D = r_f^{10} + \beta_M^D \cdot MRP = 8.95\% + 0^*7.43\% = 8.95\%$

$r_M^E = r_f^{10} + \beta_M^E \cdot MRP = 8.95\% + 1.11^*7.43\% = 17.20\%$

$r_M = \frac{D}{D+E} \cdot r_M^D + \frac{E}{D+E} \cdot r_M^E = 41\%^*8.95\% + 59\%^*17.20\% = 13.82\%$

Summary of Case

Thecasesolutions.com

Marriott Corporation is made up of three divisions:
   1. Lodging
   2. Restaurants
   3. Contract Services

They are looking for the cost of capital for each of their divisions.

Thecasesolutions.com
Cost of Capital for Marriott as a whole

To find the cost of capital, we use the following information:

mrp=7.43%

beta of debt=0

$$r^D_M = r^{30}_f + \beta^D_M * MRP = 8.95\% + 0*7.43\% = 8.95\%$$

$$r^E_M = r^{30}_f + \beta^E_M * MRP = 8.95\% + 1.11*7.43\% = 17.20\%$$

$$r_M = \frac{D}{D+E} * r^D_M + \frac{E}{D+E} * r^E_M = 41\%*8.95\% + 59\%*17.20\% = 13.82\%$$

Types of investments we could value using Marriott's WACC

There are two requirements that should be satisfied before using Marriott's WACC to evaluate prospective investments:

- The investment opportunity must have the same systemic risk as Marriott as a whole.
- The investment must have a similar leverage level to Marriott as a whole.

Thecasesolutions.com

Thecasesolutions.com

How would using a single corporation hurdle rate affect the company over time?

As different divisions have different systemic risks and leverage levels, using a single corporation hurdle rate to evaluate investment opportunities would be inappropriate. Risk for the whole corporation would be lower than the risk for the single project because risk for the whole corporation is more diversified.

- If hurdle rate is too low, more projects will be accepted.
- If hurdle rate is too high, fewer projects will be accepted.

This could ultimately result in investments which are not aligned with overall objectives

Marriott Corp Cost of Capital

Thecasesolutions.com

P(chosen 3x in a row)=1/12*1/12*1/12=0.00058

How would using a single corporation hurdle rate affect the company over time?

As different divisions have different systemic risks and leverage levels, using a single corporation hurdle rate to evaluate investment opportunities would be inappropriate. Risk for the whole corporation would be lower than the risk for the single project because risk for the whole corporation is more diversified.

- If hurdle rate is too low, more projects will be accepted.
- If hurdle rate is too high, fewer projects will be accepted.

This could ultimately result in investments which are not aligned with overall objectives

---

Types of investments we could value using Marriott's WACC

There are two requirements that should be satisfied before using Marriott's WACC to evaluate prospective investments:

- The investment opportunity must have the same systemic risk as Marriott as a whole.
- The investment must have a similar leverage level to Marriott as a whole.

Thecasesolutions.com

Summary of Case
Thecasesolutions.com

Marriott Corporation is made up of three divisions:
1. Lodging
2. Restaurants
3. Contract Services

They are looking for the cost of capital for each of their divisions.

Thecasesolutions.com
Cost of Capital for Marriott as a whole

To find the cost of capital, we use the following information:
- mrp=7.43%
- beta of debt=0

$r_M^D = r_f^{10} + \beta_M^D * MRP = 8.95\% + 0*7.43\% = 8.95\%$
$r_M^E = r_f^{10} + \beta_M^E * MRP = 8.95\% + 1.11*7.43\% = 17.20\%$
$r_M = \frac{D}{D+E} * r_M^D + \frac{E}{D+E} * r_M^E = 41\% * 8.95\% + 59\% * 17.20\% = 13.82\%$

Cost of Capital for Each Division

Scenario 1: Equal weighting for comparable company betas

$\beta_L^E = 0.4233; \beta_L^D = 0.9586$
$r_L = r_f^{10} + \beta_L^D * MRP = 8.95\% + 0.4233*7.43\% = 12.09\%$
$r_L = r_f^{10} + \beta_L^E * MRP = 8.95\% + 0.9586*7.43\% = 15.81\%$

To find contract beta, overall asset beta is the sum of the weighted department betas
$\beta_M^E = w_L * \beta_L^E + w_C * \beta_C^E + \beta_R^E$
$0.6519 = 0.41*0.4233 + 0.15*0.9586 + 0.46*\beta_C^E \rightarrow \beta_C = 0.770389$
$r_C = r_f + mrp * \beta_C = 0.0895 + 0.0743*0.770389 = 0.147189 \rightarrow 14.72\%$

Scenario 2: Revenue-based weighting

$\beta_L^E = 0.4113; \beta_L^D = 0.8486$
$r_L = r_f^{10} + \beta_L^D * MRP = 8.95\% + 0.4113*7.43\% = 2.00\%$
$r_L = r_f^{10} + \beta_L^E * MRP = 8.72\% + 0.8486*7.43\% = 15.02\%$

To find contract beta, overall asset beta is the sum of the weighted department betas
$\beta_M^E = w_L * \beta_L^E + w_C * \beta_C^E + \beta_R^E$
$0.6519 = 0.41*0.4113 + 0.15*0.8486 + 0.46*\beta_C^E \rightarrow \beta_C = 0.81728$
$r_C = r_f + mrp * \beta_C = 0.0895 + 0.0743*0.81728 = 0.150024 \rightarrow 15.02\%$